DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (DEQ) Environmental Assessment

Water Protection Bureau

Name of Project: Elkhorn Health and Rehabilitation Center, Inc.

Location of Project: 474 Highway 287

City/Town: Clancy County: Jefferson

Description of Project: DEQ is proposing to renew an MPDES permit for the Elkhorn Health and Rehabilitation Center. The receiving water is Prickly Pear Creek.

Agency Action and Applicable Regulations: The proposed action of DEQ is to renew the MPDES permit for a five-year cycle.

Applicable Rules and Statute:

Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM Title 17 Chapter 30)

Subchapter 2 – Water Quality Permit Application and Annual Fees.

Subchapter 5 – Mixing Zones in Surface and Ground Water.

Subchapter 6 – Surface Water Quality Standards.

Subchapter 7 – Nondegradation of Water Quality.

Subchapter 11 – Storm Water Discharges.

Subchapter 12 and 13 – Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Standards.

Montana Water Quality Act, MCA 75-5-101 et. seq.

Summary of Issues: New water quality-based effluent limits.

Affected Environment & Impacts of the Proposed Project:

Y = Impacts may occur (explain under Potential Impacts).

N = Not present of No Impact will likely occur.

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT		
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	
1. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE: Are soils present which are fragile, erosive, susceptible to compaction, or unstable? Are there unusual or unstable geologic features? Are there special reclamation considerations?	[N] This facility is long established and presents no new impacts.	
2. WATER QUALITY, QUANITY AND DISTRIBUTION: Are important surface or groundwater resources present? Is there potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality?	[N] Increased monitoring of the effluent for water quality has been included. Year-round pathogenic bacteria limitations have been included.	
3. AIR QUALITY: Will pollutants or particulate be produced? Is the project influenced by air quality regulations or zones (Class I airshed)?	[N] This facility is long established and presents no new impacts.	

4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY: Will vegetation communities be significantly impacted? Are any rare plants or cover types present?	[N] This facility is long established and presents no new impacts.
5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND INHABITANTS: Is there substantial use of the area by important wildlife, birds or fish?	[N] This facility is long established and presents no new impacts.
6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are any federally listed threatened or endangered species or identified habitat present? Any wetlands? Species of special concern.	[N] This facility is long established and presents no new impacts.
7. SAGE GROUSE EXECUTIVE ORDER: Is the project proposed in core, general or connectivity sage grouse habitat, as designated by the Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program at https://sagegrouse.mt.gov/? If yes, did the applicant attach documentation from the Program showing compliance with Executive Order 12-2015 and the Program's recommendations? If so, attach the documentation to the EA and address the Program's recommendation in the permit. If project is in core, general or connectivity habitat and the applicant did not document consultation with the Program, refer the applicant to the Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program.	[N] DEQ verified that this facility is not within core, general, or connectivity sage grouse habitat.
8. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES: Are any historical, archaeological or paleontological resources present?	[N] This facility is long established and presents no new impacts.
9. AESTHETICS: Is the project on a prominent topographic feature? Will I be visible from populated or scenic areas? Will there be excessive noise or light?	[N] This facility is long established and presents no new impacts.
10. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY: Will the project use resources that are limited in the area? Are there other activities nearby that will affect the project? Will new or upgraded powerlines or other energy sources be needed?	[N] This facility is long established and presents no new impacts.
11. IMPACTS ON OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are there other activities nearby that will affect the project?	[N] This facility is long established and presents no new impacts.

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT		
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND	
	MITIGATION MEASURES	
12. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY: Will this	[N] Increased disinfection protects public health for	
project add to health and safety risks in the area?	secondary recreational uses.	
13. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND	[N] No impacts are expected at this time.	
AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND		
PRODUCTION: Will the project add to or alter		
these activities?		
14. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N] No impacts are expected at this time.	
EMPLOYMENT: Will the project create, move or		
eliminate jobs? If so, estimated number.		
15. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX	[N] No impacts are expected at this time.	
REVENUES: Will the project create or eliminate		
tax revenue?		

	- n
16. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:	[N] No impacts are expected at this time
Will sustainable traffic be added to existing roads?	
Will other services (fire protection, police, schools,	
etc.) be needed?	
17. LOCALL ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL	[N] No impacts are expected at this time.
PLANS AND GOALS: Are there State, County,	
City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, etc. zoning or	
management plans in effect?	
18. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF	[N] No impacts are expected at this time.
RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS	
ACTIVITIES: Are wilderness or recreational areas	
nearby or accessed through this tract? Is there	
recreational potential within the tract?	
19. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N] No impacts are expected at this time.
POPULATION AND HOUSING: Will the project	
add to the population and require additional	
housing?	
20. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES: Is some	[N] No impacts are expected at this time.
disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or	[14] 140 impacts are expected at this time.
communities possible?	
21. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:	[N] No impacts are expected at this time.
Will the action cause a shift in some unique quality	
of the area?	
22. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND	[N] No impacts are expected at this time.
ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:	
23(a). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Are we	[N]
regulating the use of private property under a	[2,1]
regulatory statute adopted pursuant to the police	
power of the state? (Property management, grants	
of financial assistance, and the exercise of the	
power of eminent domain are not within this	
category.) If not, no further analysis is required.	
23(b). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Is the	[N]
agency proposing to deny the application or	[17]
condition the approval in a way that restricts the use	
of the regulated person's private property? If not,	
no further analysis is required.	INTI
23(c). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: If the	[N]
answer to 21(b) is affirmative, does the agency have	
legal discretion to impose or not impose the	
proposed restriction or discretion as to how the	
restriction will be imposed? If not, no further	
analysis is required. If so, the agency must	
determine if there are alternatives that would	
reduce, minimize or eliminate the restriction on the	
use of private property, and analyze such	
alternatives. The agency must disclose the potential	
costs of identified restrictions.	

- 24. Description of and Impacts of other Alternatives Considered: None
- 25. Summary of Magnitude and Significance of Potential Impacts: None
- 26. Cumulative Effects: None

Permit No.:MT0023566 Page 5 of 4

quality by enforcing the terms of the MPDES permit.

Recommendation for Further Environmental Analysis:

[] EIS [] More Detailed EA [X] No Further Analysis

Rationale for Recommendation:

28. Public Involvement: A 30-day public comment period will be held.

29. Persons and agencies consulted in the preparation of this analysis: None

EA Checklist Prepared By: Kaela Murphy

Date: December 2016

Approved By:

Jon Kenning, Chief

Date

Water Protection Bureau

27. Preferred Action Alternative and Rationale: The preferred action is to reissue the MPDES permit. This action is preferred because the permit program provides the regulatory mechanism for protecting water